

2685

	<p align="center">TRANSMITTAL LETTER (General - Patent Pending)</p>	<p align="center">Docket No. 112740-033</p>
--	--	---

In Re Application Of: Otger Wewers

Serial No. 09/509,588	Filing Date March 29, 2000	Examiner M. Milord	Group Art Unit 2685
--------------------------	-------------------------------	-----------------------	------------------------

Title: INTEGRATED CIRCUIT FOR A MOBILE RADIO DEVICE WITH CALL-ANSWERING FUNCTION

TO THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS:

Transmitted herewith is:

Response to Office Action on 2 pages and return receipt post card

RECEIVED

JAN 0 9 2003

Technology Center 2600

in the above identified application.

- ☒ No additional fee is required.
- ☐ A check in the amount of _____ is attached.
- ☒ The Assistant Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge and credit Deposit Account No. 02-1818 as described below. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.
- ☐ Charge the amount of _____
 - ☐ Credit any overpayment.
 - ☒ Charge any additional fee required.

Signature

Dated: January 3, 2003

Patrick B. Law (Reg. No. 41,549)
Bell, Boyd & Lloyd LLC
P.O. Box 1135
Chicago, Illinois 60690
(312) 781-6801

I certify that this document and fee is being deposited on 1/3/03 with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail under 37 C.F.R. 1.8 and is addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence

Robert Buccieri

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence

CC:



#7
LB
1-10-03

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Otger Wewers
Appl. No.: 09/509,588
Filed: March 29, 2000
Title: INTEGRATED CIRCUIT FOR A MOBILE RADIO DEVICE WITH CALL-ANSWERING FUNCTION
Art Unit: 2685
Examiner: M. Milord
Docket No.: 112740-033

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

RECEIVED

JAN 09 2003

Technology Center 2600

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Dear Sir:

In response to the non-final Office Action of October 3, 2002, the Applicant submits the following remarks.

REMARKS

Claims 3-10 are pending in the present application. All of these claims stand rejected.

Claims 3-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Thompson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,335,276). The Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection based on the following comments.

With respect to independent claim 3, the Office Action asserts that Thompson, *inter alia*, teaches the claimed feature of a digital voice memory with which a call-answering functionality that is enabled by a microcontroller. In support of this assertion, the memory 84 or 284 shown respectively in Figures 7 and 8 are purported to be equivalent to the claimed digital voice memory. Additionally, the Office Action also appears to correlate the program memory 184 with the claimed digital voice memory. None of these taught memories in Thompson, however meet the claimed elements.

In particular, the resident memories 84 and 284 shown in the embodiments of Figures 7 and 8 of Thompson are merely taught to contain resident applications in core software programs associated with either a handheld communication device 50 or a desktop telephone 150 (see col.